

## Results of the meeting evaluation

To evaluate the meeting and to ensure that the future meetings are responding to the needs of participants, ECDC sent a questionnaire to all participants on 19 December 2011. The evaluation survey was closed with 66.5 % participation (147 answers of 221) on 13 January 2012 (COB).

The meeting was overall well appreciated and received good feedback from the participants, although the size of the meeting and the high number of parallel sessions was regarded as major issues.

### 1. How would you rate the meeting overall (general organisation and venue)?

1. Very good	<b>55.8%</b>
2. Good	42.2%
3. Average	2%
4. Poor	0%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	0%

### 2. How would you rate the meeting content?

1. Very good	43.5%
2. Good	<b>51.0%</b>
3. Average	4.8%
4. Poor	0.7%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	0%

### 3. How would you rate:

#### 1) The relevance of the presentations?

1. Very good	36.7%
2. Good	<b>56.5%</b>
3. Average	5.4%
4. Poor	0%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	1.4%

#### 2) The quality of the presentations and the speakers?

1. Very good	31.9%
2. Good	<b>62.6%</b>
3. Average	4.8%
4. Poor	0%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	0.7%

#### 3) The quality of the reports from working sessions (plenary sessions 3 & 4)?

1. Very good	21.8%
2. Good	<b>53.7%</b>
3. Average	15.6%
4. Poor	0.7%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	8.2%

#### 4) The quality of the documents provided by ECDC?

- i. EARS-Net report 2011 (based on 2010 data)

**Joint Annual meeting of the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections  
(ARHAI) Networks**

1. Very good	<b>49%</b>
2. Good	43.5%
3. Average	0.7%
4. Poor	0%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	6.8%

ii. Draft report of surveillance of surgical site infections in Europe, based on 2008-2009 data

1. Very good	28.6%
2. Good	<b>48.3%</b>
3. Average	10.2%
4. Poor	0%
5. Very poor	0%
6. No answer	12.9%

**4. How would you rate the relevance and usefulness of the documents provided by ECDC on the USB keys?**

1. Very useful	<b>44.2%</b>
2. Useful	38.1%
3. Only informative	11.6%
4. Not useful	0.7%
5. No answer	5.4%

**5. How would you rate the relevance and usefulness of the following sessions:**

1) Plenary session 1: Experiences and successes from Poland?

1. Very useful	19%
2. Useful	<b>39.5%</b>
3. Only informative	31.3%
4. Not useful	0.7%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	7.5%
6. No answer	2%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 133 replies ("attendees") remained:

1. Very useful	21.1%
2. Useful	<b>43.6%</b>
3. Only informative	34.6%
4. Not useful	0.7%

2) Plenary session 2: Updates from the European Commission and ECDC?

1. Very useful	25.2%
2. Useful	<b>53.7%</b>
3. Only informative	15.6%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	4.1%
6. No answer	1.4%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 139 replies remained:

1. Very useful	26.6%
2. Useful	<b>56.8%</b>
3. Only informative	16.6%
4. Not useful	0%

**Joint Annual meeting of the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections  
(ARHAI) Networks**

3) Plenary session 3+4: Reports from networks and working groups?

1. Very useful	27.2%
2. Useful	<b>48.3%</b>
3. Only informative	14.3%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	6.1%
6. No answer	4.1%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 132 replies remained:

1. Very useful	30.3%
2. Useful	<b>53.8%</b>
3. Only informative	15.9%
4. Not useful	0%

4) Session 1: HAI-Net: Point prevalence survey (PPS) ?

1. Very useful	17.7%
2. Useful	<b>20.4%</b>
3. Only informative	2.0%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	52.4%
6. No answer	7.5%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 59 replies remained:

1. Very useful	44.1%
2. Useful	<b>50.8%</b>
3. Only informative	5.1%
4. Not useful	0%

5) Session 2: EARS-Net?

1. Very useful	<b>23.8%</b>
2. Useful	19%
3. Only informative	0.7%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	46.3%
6. No answer	10.2%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 64 replies remained:

1. Very useful	<b>54.6%</b>
2. Useful	43.8%
3. Only informative	1.6%
4. Not useful	0%

6) HALT-2: HAI and antimicrobial use in long-term care facilities?

1. Very useful	10.2%
2. Useful	<b>14.9%</b>
3. Only informative	4.1%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	59.9%
6. No answer	10.9%

**Joint Annual meeting of the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections  
(ARHAI) Networks**

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 43 replies remained:

1. Very useful	34.9%
2. Useful	<b>51.1%</b>
3. Only informative	14%
4. Not useful	0%

7) Session 4: HAI-Net: Surgical site infections?

1. Very useful	10.9%
2. Useful	<b>14.9%</b>
3. Only informative	2%
4. Not useful	0.7%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	59.9%
6. No answer	11.6%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 42 replies remained:

1. Very useful	38.1%
2. Useful	<b>52.4%</b>
3. Only informative	7.1%
4. Not useful	2.4%

8) Session 5: National AMR Focal Points (11<sup>th</sup> meeting)?

1. Very useful	10.2%
2. Useful	<b>13.6%</b>
3. Only informative	1.4%
4. Not useful	0.7%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	62.6%
6. No answer	11.5%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 38 replies remained:

1. Very useful	39.5%
2. Useful	<b>52.6%</b>
3. Only informative	5.3%
4. Not useful	2.6%

9) Session 6: Q & A: TESSy and hospital software for HAI?

1. Very useful	6.8%
2. Useful	<b>10.9%</b>
3. Only informative	4.1%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	65.3%
6. No answer	12.9%
7.	

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 32 replies remained:

1. Very useful	31.2%
2. Useful	<b>50%</b>
3. Only informative	18.8%
4. Not useful	0%

10) Session 7: Prevention and control of spread of carbapenemase-producing bacteria in healthcare facilities?

<b>1. Very useful</b>	<b>29.3%</b>
-----------------------	--------------

**Joint Annual meeting of the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections  
(ARHAI) Networks**

2. Useful	18.4%
3. Only informative	2%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	38.1%
6. No answer	12.2%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 73 replies remained:

1. Very useful	<b>58.9%</b>
2. Useful	37%
3. Only informative	4.1%
4. Not useful	0%

11) Session 8: HAI-Net: Intensive care unit?

1. Very useful	10.2%
2. Useful	<b>12.9%</b>
3. Only informative	3.4%
4. Not useful	1.4%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	61.2%
6. No answer	10.9%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 41 replies remained:

1. Very useful	36.6%
2. Useful	<b>46.3%</b>
3. Only informative	12.2%
4. Not useful	4.9%

12) Session 9: Future of HAI surveillance?

1. Very useful	18.4%
2. Useful	<b>23.1%</b>
3. Only informative	4.8%
4. Not useful	0.7%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	44.2%
6. No answer	8.8%
7.	

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 69 replies remained:

1. Very useful	39.2%
2. Useful	<b>49.3%</b>
3. Only informative	10.1%
4. Not useful	1.4%

13) Session 10: Epidemic Intelligence Information System (EPIS) & national experiences in early warning and response of AMR & HAI

1. Very useful	17.0%
2. Useful	<b>20.4%</b>
3. Only informative	8.8%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	42.2%
6. No answer	11.6%

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 68 replies remained:

1. Very useful	36.8%
----------------	-------

**Joint Annual meeting of the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections  
(ARHAI) Networks**

2. Useful	<b>44.1%</b>
3. Only informative	19.1%
4. Not useful	0%

14) Session 11: Infection control training?

1. Very useful	5.5%
2. Useful	<b>11.6%</b>
3. Only informative	2.7%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	68%
6. No answer	12.2%
7.	

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 29 replies remained:

1. Very useful	27.6%
2. Useful	<b>58.6%</b>
3. Only informative	13.8%
4. Not useful	0%

15) Session 12: Future of AMR surveillance?

1. Very useful	18.4%
2. Useful	<b>23.8%</b>
3. Only informative	6.1%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	39.5%
6. No answer	12.2%
7.	

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 71 replies remained:

1. Very useful	38%
2. Useful	<b>49.3%</b>
3. Only informative	12.7%
4. Not useful	0%

16) Session 13: Data protection and linking databases?

1. Very useful	3.4%
2. Useful	<b>9.5%</b>
3. Only informative	8.2%
4. Not useful	0.7%
5. Not applicable/ I did not attend this session	65.3%
6. No answer	12.9%
7.	

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 32 replies remained:

1. Very useful	15.6%
2. Useful	<b>43.8%</b>
3. Only informative	37.5%
4. Not useful	3.1%

17) Session 14: Surveillance of *Clostridium difficile* infections?

1. Very useful	<b>11.6%</b>
2. Useful	9.5%
3. Only informative	1.4%
4. Not useful	0%
5. Not applicable/	

**Joint Annual meeting of the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections  
(ARHAI) Networks**

- |                               |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| I did not attend this session | 65.9% |
| 6. No answer                  | 11.6% |
| 7.                            |       |

After exclusion of the replies "Not applicable /I did not attend this session and No answer", 33 replies remained:

- |                     |              |
|---------------------|--------------|
| 1. Very useful      | <b>51.5%</b> |
| 2. Useful           | 42.4%        |
| 3. Only informative | 6.1%         |
| 4. Not useful       | 0%           |

**6. Should the future meeting be a joint meeting of all three networks (EARS-Net, HAI-Net and ESAC-Net)?**

- |   |              |
|---|--------------|
| 1. Yes, all three networks  | <b>46.9%</b> |
| 2. "Joint meeting with less participants and less parallel sessions | 38.8%        |
| 3. No, only meetings of individual networks                         | 10.9%        |
| 4. No answer  | 3.4%         |

**7. How frequently should ECDC organise a joint meeting of the networks?**

- |                         |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Annually             | <b>64.6%</b> |
| 2. Once every 18 months | 13.6%        |
| 3. Once every 2 years   | 17.7%        |
| 4. No answer            | 4.1%         |

**8. Where should the next joint meeting be hosted?**

- |                        |              |
|------------------------|--------------|
| 1. ECDC (Stockholm)    | 23.8%        |
| 2. Elsewhere in Europe | <b>65.3%</b> |
| 3. No answer           | 10.9%        |

**9. Would you be interested to host a future meeting in your country?**

- |              |       |
|--------------|-------|
| 1. Yes       | 14.3% |
| 2. No        | 29.2% |
| 3. May be    | 43.6% |
| 4. No answer | 12.9% |