30 January 2014, Brussels

Speech for 110th Anniversary of L'Institut Scientifique de Sante Publique/ Wetenschappelijk Instituut Volksgezondheid

Marc Sprenger, Director of ECDC, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control

ECDC's vision of National Public Health Institutes as partners in an International European Health Policy setting

- Dear Excellencies, ladies and gentlemen, dear friends,
- It is a great honour for me to be here today on this very special occasion; the 110th anniversary of such an important grand dame.
- And it is a particular pleasure for me, as **Belgium has a very special place in my heart**.
- My wife is from Belgium, and been as I grew up in Maastricht, I spent parts of my youth in your country.

- I would soon like to invite you for a mental exercise.
- But before we embark on this exercise, I would first like to give you a **brief introduction to what ECDC does**.
- The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) was **established in 2005 in Stockholm**, Sweden.
- It is the European Union agency with **responsibility to strengthen Europe's defences against infectious diseases**.
- ECDC's mission is to identify, to assess and communicate current and emerging threats to human health posed by infectious diseases.
- The role of ECDC is also to **support and help coordinate European Union countries' preparedness and response capacities**.

- Infectious diseases are our business.
- We have to be vigilant, efficient and effective, because a lot depends on us.
- European governments understand that as infectious diseases know no borders, there is a constant need for surveillance and assessment of risks to provide a strong and reliable line of defence for all Europeans.
- Our scientific work is closely linked with that of Health Ministries, of National Public Health Institutes, public health researchers, managers and of practitioners in every country in Europe.
- We have daily contact with these different stakeholders by exchanging information, providing analysis, guidance and technical support.
- Protecting Europe from infectious diseases is not a chance benefit.
- ECDC has been **built on well-coordinated public health alert and response systems**.
- ECDC's work also **relies on rigorous independent scientific evidence and analysis** as well as the capacity to take quick and decisive action.
- Communication expertise is also crucial to **deliver reliable information rapidly** to those who need it.
- All ECDC's central and field operations are organised to **support and strengthen** these vital public health functions,
- and aims to **add value to country-led responses**, particularly in these times of financial constraint.
- Thus, our aim is to help save lives.
- ECDC is a scientific and technical agency, not a political body.
- It is our role to provide the European Commission, the European Parliament and national health policymakers with the advice and evidence they need to take decisions and the appropriate action to protect health.

- ECDC is unique.
- We are proud to be at the **hub of Europe's vast network of dedicated public health specialists**, scientists, microbiologists and epidemiologists - over 10 000 in number - who work with us and other partners, such as the WHO, to protect people from infectious disease threats.
- We work hard to fine-tune these efforts so as to be worthy of Europe's continued trust and support.
- It is now time to invite you for the mental exercise I mentioned earlier, and to pretend that it is not 2014 but **2024**,
- and that this is not the 110th anniversary of your public health institute but the **120th anniversary** and that you have invited me to speak again.
- It is a great honour for me to be here again for your 120th anniversary, and it is truly fantastic to enter this **new state-of**the-art building!
- I remember that all your previous buildings were spread across the city, and it is therefore amazing to be here today at this beautiful site, in such a nice landscape.
- Back to business.
- Maybe I could use this opportunity to look back at what has happened over the past 10 years.
- At that time I was Director of ECDC and in that capacity a participant of what I would like to call the European project.
- There were several citizens who, at the time, were very critical towards the EU-project.
- In fact, 2014 was an EP election year and the number of EU sceptics elected to the European Parliament increased considerable.
- At that time I had many American friends who wanted me to explain the EU.

- I always said that you could not compare the European Union to the USA, since their history was in this respect much longer.
- At that time I was the Director of ECDC with 350 staff members compared to the 15.000 staff members of the US CDC.
- Nevertheless many things have changed since then.
- Of course the EU discussions at the time also had consequences on the national situation, in particular on the National Institutes for Public Health.
- Around the time of 2014, I was **every month visiting a National Institute of PH** in an EU member state.
- And I was always surprised by the diversity of the institutes.
- Not only did they **differ in size** (from 20 to 2000 staff members) but also their **mandate and scope varied**.
- I remember that when I was invited to visit your national institute back then, I was impressed by the size, the broad scope of your institute, the high quality and even the quality assurance.
- Nevertheless at that time there were two pending issues:
 - some differences between the Communes, the Gemeenten, in your country,
 - and discussions regarding the budget of the institute.
- The good news was that there was a **keen interest in health** issues at the communitarian level.
- The less good news was that several of the functions could only be executed at a national or EU level because of the costs and a uniform methodology.
- Regarding the budget, I would like to refresh your memory that there were strong views on 'valorisation of knowledge' and 'market competition'.
- However after several years it became clear that PH is an important value for citizens and should be taken care of by a national government.

- Nowadays we see that most of the governments in the EU provide a solid budget for their NIPH.
- At the same time these governments called upon cost efficiency at a EU level.
- I remember that your Director at that time had a clear vision on this: there should be a strong NPHI, serving the different communities of Belgium and it should have a strong European profile in order to be competitive as a European centre of excellence.
- Looking around, my impression is that you have succeeded in this!
- Between 2015 and 2020, there was a fundamental debate in the EP and the Member States.
- After this so called Eurosceptic intermezzo between 2012 and 2017, the EU citizens and parliamentarians started paying more attention to PH, also strongly fuelled by the WHO.
- Of course the question was how we could improve PH in the EU, and how does this affect the current structures.
- In 2015, an important committee was established in order to develop a proposal on how to strengthen PH in the EU and on what would be the appropriate infrastructure.
- To nobody's surprise this committee advised that it was important to go beyond infectious diseases at a European level.
- Of course the, at the time, new **Serious Cross Border Health Threats legislation** was an important milestone (approved November 2013). From a PH perspective infectious diseases are important, however the **burden of disease is highest in Noncommunicable diseases.**
- Moreover as also advocated by the Belgian Institute for Public Health - it was recognised that public health improvements depend strongly on joint approaches and techniques.
- And as there was less reason to keep the communicable and noncommunicable diseases as separate issues, the committee advised

that the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control should enter a second phase, namely paying attention to health metrics and best practices of prevention of NCD.

- After several debates the EP, Council and Commission fully supported this idea and were willing to enlarge the staff and budget of ECDC in order to make this possible.
- Nevertheless this was not the only recommendation of this important Committee.
- They also reviewed the European capacity of NPHI and were surprised by the diversity of the institutes.
- From an economic perspective they advised to establish more European collaboration and in fact to **establish a European PH infrastructure where ECDC played a coordinating role**.
- Contrary the CDC of the USA they were not in favour of a big institute in Stockholm, but **advocated better coordination of the existing PH infrastructure**.
- Hence a new committee was established with the aim to develop, in close cooperation with ECDC, proposals in order to improve the EU PH infrastructure.
- Now I would like to look back at the threats of the past 10 years.
- Ten years ago, we were very **concerned about antimicrobial resistance**.
- We promoted prudent use, the development of new antibiotics and to find a new business model.
- I know that Belgium has done a lot regarding the prudent use of antibiotics, and has set up a system for AB consumption.
- People are much more aware of the limitations regarding AMR.
- There is very good collaboration between the national institutes and EMA, EFSA and ECDC. Finally the use of AB in the vet sector has been reduced dramatically.
- By the way, did you know that Belgium was one of the first who really paid attention to nosocomial infections.

- Still today influenza poses a threat.
- There are several new types of influenza and we need to be very vigilant.
- We have set up a good EU virus surveillance system and are making use of social media in order to estimate the impact.
- The reference lab system of WHO has been further strengthened.
- The collaboration in the food- and water borne diseases area has also been improved.
- At the European level we are **now able to trace and track the causes of infections**.
- Excellent collaboration between the EFSA and ECDC under the leadership of the Commission was key to this success.
- TB was of a great concern ten years ago.
- There were countries with very high incidence and modern big cities with clusters of high TB activity.
- The great news is that this is **now under control**.
- We have paid much more attention in order to support the less rich countries in their fight against TB.
- We have established a good lab-network where all materials from pt are cultured and tested for resistance.
- At a European level we have found a way to support the countries that have difficulties in purchasing the anti-TB drugs.
- Nowadays in the EU, there is no patient who does not have access to the appropriate drugs.
- At ECDC we are able to follow the development because we received the microbial information from all patients, as well as the patient outcome information.
- Ten years ago, we **experienced major outbreaks of measles in Europe**, despite the WHO resolution to eliminate measles and rubella by 2015.
- The good news is that in 2024 is we have **succeeded in** eliminating measles and rubella by concerted actions and

thanks to the commitment of the Commission and the Member States.

- ECDC has developed different models for the Member States and the NPHI to use in order to develop a national strategy.
- Though there is no universal approach in EU, every Member State was able to develop its own tailor-made approach which turned out to be effective.
- Ten years ago every Member State had its own advisory committee for the national immunisation programmes. T
- Today all the NPHI contribute to the EU advisory committee.
- ECDC has set up, in collaboration with EMA, a public health advisory committee in order to provide advice to the Commission and to the MS.
- Despite the limited own capacity of ECDC, it is able to attract the top experts from the NPHI.
- Last but not least, at the Regional Committee Meeting of the WHO EURO, under the chairmanship of Daniel Reynders, a new framework for the development of a surveillance of vector borne diseases was adopted.
- In a **joint effort of the WHO, ECDC and the NPHIs**, we were able to develop this surveillance.
- Ten years ago we thought that EU, in general, did not suffer from vector borne diseases.
- Today we know that we need to pay attention both to the surveillance, the control measures and the behaviour of citizens.
- Of course infectious diseases do not respect EU borders, and there is still an ongoing debate at the EU level about EU membership.
- However for **ECDC** this is less relevant because, at the request of the Commission, we have **developed very good collaboration** with the neighbourhood countries.
- In fact the current activities of ECDC cover also these countries.

- I am in particular proud that Belgium is also supporting some of the less-developed NPHI in one of the neighbourhood countries, and, by the way, also some NPHI in developing countries.
- In that respect Belgium is a good example of a country that is taking its responsibility.
- My conclusion for 2024 is that we have succeeded to control infectious diseases in EU and beyond.
- This has only been possible thanks to the full support of the l'Institut Scientifique de Sante Publique.
- You have provided lab capacity and special expertise.
- Contrary to ten years ago, where each NPHI tried to cover almost each topic, there is a much better coordination and division of tasks and laboratory capacity in EU.
- The vision of the management of the institute turned out to be right: more focus for WIV in order to become a European Centre of excellence for several topics.
- ECDC can only do its work with the full and continued support of the European National Institutes of PH, like to WIV.
- I still fully support the vision back in 2005 of the EP and Council that we should not build a new cathedral in Stockholm but rely on the good work of the NPHI.
- Finally I would like to end by returning to 2014, and to my role as Director of ECDC.
- I would like to use this opportunity to thank Belgium for their great support of ECDC.
- In particular, I would like to mention Daniel Reynders who is one of the most active and prominent members of ECDC's Management Board.
- I would also like to pay tribute to **Herman van Oyen and Sophie Quoilin who are active members of our Advisory Forum,** and who participate in numerous projects between WIV and ECDC.

• Finally, I would like to **thank my friend**, **Johan Peeters**, for whom I have the **greatest respect** since he has rebuild this honourable grand dame and provided her with new youth.

2529 words = approximately 23 minute speech